

**San Francisco State University
Inventory of Program Assessment Activities, 2009-2011**

Program/Degree: Geography & Human Environmental Studies: B.A. **College:** BSS **Date:** June 10, 2011

Program Mission: Each graduate of the B.A. in Geography will develop a depth of understanding in the concepts, theories and skills within the discipline of Geography and will have been afforded the opportunity to prepare for a career or profession following graduation.

Measurable Student learning outcomes (SLOs) <i>(Include all SLOs)</i>	Place in curriculum where each outcome is addressed <i>(Indicate level of instruction)</i>	Academic year outcome was/will be assessed <i>(provide for each outcome)</i>	Assessment/procedures Methods/strategies <i>(Provide for each SLO)</i>	Summary of findings <i>(What students do well and Where improvements are needed)</i>	Use of findings for program improvement
<p>1A. Demonstrate knowledge of core concepts and theories in physical geography:</p> <p>Relate global circulation patterns to spatial climate variability</p> <p>Relate biomes with climate, geologic events & evolutionary history</p> <p>Interpret landscapes (identify landforms on maps/imagery.)</p>	<p>GEOG 101 GEOG 300 series</p> <p>GEOG 101, 313/314</p> <p>GEOG 101, 316</p> <p>GEOG 101, 312</p>	<p>2006-07</p> <p>2007-09</p> <p>2011</p>	<p>Core faculty imbedded questions in introductory and upper division courses.</p> <p>Revision of Geog 314 as <i>Bioclimatology</i> to reflect the critical impact of boundary layer climates on biogeographic and agricultural activities.</p>	<p>Some improvement seen from lower division to upper division classes, but not uniformly</p> <p>Some continued improvement in Landforms but increased enrollment from ENVS students who took Geol, not Geog 101, poses some problems in continuity of learning, especially for biogeography.</p> <p>Geog 314 <i>Bioclimatology</i> first offering in Spring 2011 was a great success, with apparent cross-fertilization among climate and biogeography interests.</p>	<p>Worked with a new Lecturer to improve coverage of concepts, esp. in Geog 316</p> <p>Increased enforcement of lower division prerequisites for upper division electives</p> <p>Instituted team teaching of a large section of Geog 101 to increase students' exposure to different expertise.</p> <p>Developed online quizzes to reinforce key concepts in Geog 312 and 313.</p>

Measurable Student learning outcomes (SLOs)	Place in curriculum where each outcome is addressed	Academic year outcome was/will be assessed	Assessment/procedures Methods/strategies	Summary of findings	Use of findings for program improvement
1B. Demonstrate knowledge of core concepts and theories in human geography: Understand spatial dependency between humans & the envt Use <i>site and situation</i> to analyze emergence & growth of a city Critically evaluate how local processes relate to global processes	GEOG 102 GEOG 102, 423, 600 GEOG 102, 432, 433 GEOG 102, 434, 455, 500 series	Specific outcomes articulated 2005-06; assessment delayed by major personnel changes. 2007-09	Core faculty met to develop assessment activities with embedded assessment in Geog 102 and upper-level courses. SLO concepts were stressed in additional courses (423, 433, 443, 455.) Student learning assessed via poster presentations and essay exams.	No findings initially. Students learn concepts more thoroughly when addressed in classes relating to different themes. Geog 107 World Regions & Interrelations course especially effective in teaching SLO concepts. Upper-division regional courses also highly effective, probably due to comparative content.	n/a Added Geog 107 as an alternative to Geog 102 in major core requirements. Increased international content of upper division electives when staffing of 500-level offerings became problematic due to budget cuts.
Measurable Student learning outcomes (SLOs)	Place in curriculum where each outcome is addressed	Academic year outcome was/will be assessed	Assessment/procedures Methods/strategies	Summary of findings	Use of findings for program improvement

<p>2. Students will be able to identify and investigate a significant geographic question and present findings in a coherent and well-developed project.</p>	<p>GEOG 103 (Level 1), 690 (Capstone course)</p>	<p>Ongoing since 2003-04</p>	<p>Graded assignments in GEOG 103 and 690; Rubric being developed.</p>	<p>With the increasing sizes of classes, there are fewer upper-division writing assignments. We are looking at ways of improving writing earlier in the curriculum.</p>	<p>Faculty encouraged to assign more term papers and other analytical work in upper division classes.</p>
<p>3. Students will be able to critically evaluate the interactions of human activities with a given resource across time and space, at variable scales</p>	<p>Geog 101, 102, 107 Geog 300, 400, 500, Geog 600-650 Geog 690</p>	<p>2005-06 2007-08</p>	<p>Faculty sought to develop an essay question for use at intro, intermediate and capstone levels.</p>	<p>Learning outcome too global, hard to measure.</p>	<p>---</p>
<p>4. Students will be able to apply quantitative and technical skills to the analysis of a geographic problem or question.</p>	<p>Geog 103 (intro) Geog 603, 606, 610, 611, 620, 621, 690</p>	<p>2002-05 2006-07 2007-09</p>	<p>Informal student feedback, evaluation of term projects</p>	<p>Discontinuities in skill level; problems with course articulation.</p>	<p>Techniques Committee meets to articulate curricula; poster presentations assigned in all techniques classes to reinforce this SLO.</p>
<p></p>	<p></p>	<p>2009-11</p>	<p>Continues; also, sample projects now posted on classroom walls for peer review & discussion.</p>	<p>Overall improvement apparent. Use of GIS technologies in other courses (e.g. 642) supports learning. Increased offerings of GIS courses due to MS.</p>	<p>Ongoing articulation. More faculty use of GIS/remote sensing products in non-technical courses.</p>
<p></p>	<p></p>	<p></p>	<p>Diagnostic exam administered at start of each advanced class (620, 621) that requires 603 as a prerequisite.</p>	<p></p>	<p>Geog 603 instructors devote more</p>

4 a) Students should be able to demonstrate an understanding of how spatial data are handled in GIS and familiarity with basic functions in GIS.

<p>2005-06 2006-07</p>	<p>Pre-tests administered in upper level GIS courses (620 & 621.)</p>	<p>All students understand basic data models and most are familiar with major functions; Certain functions (choropleth mapping, e.g.) are not consistently learned.</p>	<p>instructional time to map-making and how to choose the correct map type for data portrayal.</p>
<p>2007-09</p>		<p>Pre-test in 621 abandoned due to unneeded stress.</p>	<p>Lab exercises modified to require maps as part of presentation.</p>
<p>2009-11</p>	<p>Evaluation and redesign of introductory GIS courses, from 103 to 603 (continuing in 2011-2013)</p>	<p>Late-declaring majors (e.g. ENVS transfers) sometimes lack core concepts from Geog 103.</p>	<p>Techniques committee developing a self-study option for students who need to remedy 103 deficiencies.</p>